

Automated calibration of optical sensors using a low-cost kHz OPO laser system

Yuqin Zong

Sensor Science Division National Institute of Standards and Technology Gaithersburg, Maryland



Overview

- Introduction
- Existing spectral calibration methods
- New 1 kHz optical parametric oscillator (OPO) based calibration system
- Stray-light correction using kHz OPO
- Summary



Spectral calibration of optical sensors



- SI base unit -luminous intensity: candela
- SI base unit –radiance temperature: Kelvin
- Spectral irradiance scale (FEL lamps)
- Remote sensing
- Colorimetry and radiometry



Existing spectral calibration facilities at NIST



<u>Trap detector</u>: specially configured, multi-element silicon photodiodes detector with high performance.

The NIST SIRCUS facility



- Continuous spectral coverage from UV to NIR
- Continuous wave (CW) or quasi–CW tunable lasers based research facility
- high power (e.g., 100 mW), narrow bandwidth (<0.01 nm)</p>
- Used for realization of SI base units: Kelvin and candela
- Provide calibrations for primary radiometric standards and for remote sensing instruments ...
- Difficult to automate & high-cost



NIST SCF



- Lamp-monochromator based calibration facility, no fringe problem
- Main facility to disseminate NIST Scale to industry
- Low radiant power (μW level), broad bandwidth (4 nm)
- Designed for power responsivity
- Large uncertainties to acquire irradiance responsivities (mapping method does not work well!)



Spatial uniformity of a Si photodiode



NIST

Fully automated tunable OPO-based laser sources

- OPO: optical parametric oscillators
- Fully automated
- Large tunable range
- Portable
- Much lower cost



- Low repetition rate (10 Hz to 1000 Hz)
- Narrow pulse width, extremely low duty cycle (e.g., 10⁻⁶)
- Pulse to pulse variation and difficult to stabilize
- Trans-impedence amplifiers don't work well

Have not been used as calibration source yet

- Can pulse lasers be used for calibration of detectors with small uncertainties?
- How to overcome fluctuation of a pulsed laser and obtain repeatable results?
- Will detectors be saturated?
- Is a pulse laser equivalent to a CW laser for detector calibrations?



Schematic of the new automated calibration system





The automated OPO system



- 210 nm to 2400 nm tunable range
- 1 kHz repetition rate
- 5 ns pulse width
- $5-8 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ bandwidth ($\approx 0.2 \text{ nm}$ in visible range)



OPO pulse waveforms





OPO spectra





The electrometers



- Charge measurement function from 2 nC to 2 μC using a charge amplifier
- < 3 fA bias current</p>
- < 20 µV burden voltage</p>
- High performance multichannel switching card



Measurement timing





Measurement repeatability

1 s integration time for each point



Measurement No., i

NIS

- two Hamamatus S2281 silicon photodiodes (PD)
- standard deviation = 7 ppm!
- one 3 silicon PD trap and one S2281 Si PD
- standard deviation = **12** ppm!

Detector non-linearity test



Obtained by normalizing the charge ratio $r(P_i)$ of the test detector (S2281 PD) to reference detector (S2281 PD with 2 orders of magnitude lower signal).

OPO at 450 nm.

Saturation starts at peak=100 mA, averaged=1 μ A.

- 1) Nonlinearity depends on the detector and laser wavelength.
- The instantaneous photocurrent without causing nonlinearity is several orders of magnitude higher than the threshold nonlinear DC photocurrent (0.1 1 mA typically).
- 3) The level of allowed averaged photocurrent is several orders of magnitude lower than the threshold nonlinear DC photocurrent.



Validation: charge amp vs trans-impedance amp



"CW laser + charge amplifiers" vs. "CW laser + trans-impedance amplifiers" Difference in measured responsivity is ≈ 0.02 %.



Validation: 1 kHz pulsed OPO vs CW lasers



"Pulsed OPO + charge amplifiers" vs. "CW laser + trans-impedance amplifiers" Difference in measured responsivity is also ≈ 0.02 %



Comparison of results



Replacing **CW laser** with **pulsed OPO** for charge amplifiers does not make difference in measured responsivity.

Pulsed OPO \iff CW laser.



Uncertainty budget

	Relative standard unc. (%)	
Uncertainty component	Туре А	Туре В
Reference trap detector		0.020
OPO wavelength (0.02 nm)		0.005
Sphere source irradiance non-uniformity		0.005
Detector reference plane		0.010
Detector non-linearity		0.005
Transfer to test detector	0.005	
Electrometer (range to range gain error)		0.005
Combined uncertainty (%)	0.025	
Expanded uncertainty (<i>k</i> =2) (%)	0.05	



Overview

- Introduction
- Existing spectral calibration methods
- New 1 kHz optical parametric oscillator (OPO) based calibration system
- Stray light correction using kHz OPO
- Summary



Stray light problem with spectrometers



Stray light is often the dominant source of error <u>even</u> with an expensive, 'high-quality' spectroradiometer!



Characterization of spectral stray light: Spectral line spread function (SLSF)



Measurement of an OPO laser source with a spectrometer.



Movie of measured SLSFs





Simple matrix method





3-D plot of a SDF matrix





Correction of spectral stray light





NIST

Stray-light correction for measurement of UV LEDs



Reference:

Zong Y., *et al.*, Measurement of total radiant flux of UV LEDs, in Proc. CIE, CIE x026:2004, 107–110 (2004)



Spatial stray-light correction - imaging



Specifications:

- 2-D CCD array: 1392x1040
- CCD size: 4.65 μ m \times 4.65 μ m
- A/D: 12 bits
- Lens: 55 mm
- No TE-cooler

PSF test conditions: Distance: 2 m Pin hole size: 0.2 mm diameter Iris: F2.8 Signal Dynamic range: > 6 orders



Point spread function (PSF)





Correction of spatial stray light





Summary

- A new, automated method for calibration of optical sensors using a low-cost kHz OPO laser system has been developed and validated. Calibration uncertainty is virtually the same as that by using tunable CW lasers.
- A kHz OPO is also a powerful tool for correction of spectrometers for stray light.
- kHz OPOs may be used to replace CW lasers or monochromators in:
 - Characterization and calibration of remote sensing instruments (e.g., ABI of GOES-R)
 - LIDAR

...

- Measurement of optical property (e.g., BRDF)
- Hyperspectral imaging (e.g., optical medical imaging)



References

- Zong Y., Brown S. W., Eppeldauer G. P., Lykke K. R., and Ohno Y, A new method for spectral irradiance and radiance responsivity calibrations using kHz pulsed tunable optical parametric oscillators, *Metrologia*, **49**, S124–S129 (2012)
- Zong Y., Brown S. W., Johnson B. C., Lykke K. R., and Ohno Y., Simple spectral stray light correction method for array spectroradiometers, *Appl. Opt.*, **45**, 1111-1119 (2006).
- Zong Y., Brown S. W., Meister G., Barnes R. A., and Lykke K. R., Characterization and correction of stray light in optical instruments, Proc. of SPIE, September 17-20, 2007, Florence, Italy, Vol. 6744, 67441L-1-11 (2007).
- Zong Y., Brown S. W., Lykke K. R., and Ohno Y., Correction of stray light in spectroradiometers and imaging instruments, Proc. CIE, July 4-11, 2007, Beijing, China, CIE **178:2007**, D2-33 to D2-36. (2007)



Acknowledgements

Keith Lykke Steve Brown George Eppeldauer Yoshi Ohno and many other colleagues



THANK YOU

yuqin.zong@nist.gov

